This transcript has been produced for the DARG data session to be held on 19 March 2025. The source of the data is the Grenfell Tower Inquiry. Please do not copy of distribute the data without permission – thank you (m.j.ovens@warwick.ac.uk).

The excerpt is taken from an oral hearing which formed part of a public inquiry into a catastrophic fire in a residential tower block, Grenfell Tower in June 2017, as a results of which 72 people lost their lives. The witness is a fire brigade Control Room Operator who was on duty on the night of the fire. She is being questioned by a barrister (pseudonym "John"), with occasional interventions from the Inquiry Chairman ("Timothy"). Immediately before this section of questioning, the Control Room Operator had spoken of her discomfort with the 'stay-put policy' (a set of conventions influencing building design and fire-fighting strategy, according to which it is normally safest for residents to stay in their own flats unless they are directly affected by the fire). This was because of her personal knowledge of an earlier fire at the Lakanal House tower block, when residents were advised to stay put, but firefighters were unable to rescue all of them.

```
Joh Em (.) then you (0.2) also \downarrow say (0.4) >in the same
1
2
        paragraph w- I have read it to you that you would assure
3
        the caller tha' the firefighters know exactly where they
4
        wer- where they are (0.7) ((clears throat)) (0.5) um (0.3)
5
        and you say tha- 't's to be their own personal decision as
        to whether they .hhh decided to stay or go^{\circ} < (1.1) was that
6
7
        (.) your own personal (0.6) ↑practice (.) ↓at the time of
        the Grenfell Tower fire (1.3) that you would always assure
8
9
        the call[ers?]
10 Wit
                [I ↑cou]ldn't (.) ↓I didn't feel I could decide ↓for
11
        them
   Joh °Ri::ght°
12
13
        (2.3)
   Wit An' I know I was alone in that ((nodding)) but I just could
        not ((shaking head/nodding))
15
        (2.3)
16
   Joh When you say I would assure the caller that the fire
17
        fighters know exactly where they were (0.9) w- was that er
18
19
        a p- (0.3) was tha' an invariable practice of yours at the
        time you would always say [they know where you are]
20
21 Wit
                                   [I hope so]
22
        (0.5)
23 Joh Right (0.5) w- w- would [there] al- (.) would there always
24 Wit
                                 [bu-]
25
   Joh be a a an information basis for giving that assurance
26
        (2.3)
27 Wit Did I I always passed the information on (0.7) to the fire
28
29 Joh = "Yeah" (0.6) but when you assured callers that the
```

```
30
       firefighters know exactly where they were as you say (0.9)
31
       [would you]
32 Wit [I ho-] I tried to I (.) hoped I did °bu-°=
33 Joh =An' my question is (.) would you would you give that
       advice even though you weren't (0.4) you didn't have any
34
35
       factual basis for knowing (.) that the firefighters knew
       where they callers were
36
37 Wit Well th- the information was being passed ↑over to the the
38
        ↑fire ground (.) so
39
        (1.1)
40 Joh D- does that mean that you ass- you would always assume
        (0.6) that the firefighters would \underline{know} where they were
41
        (0.6) [as soon as the information] was passed over to the
42
             [i- ((inaudible))]
43 Wit
44 Joh [fire ground]
45 Wit [\uparrowWell I was] (.) \uparrowyes ((nodding with gaze directed at
46
       counsel))
47
       (0.5)
48 Joh "Right" (1.2) [even after]
49 Tim
                      [Well can] I can I just ((clears throat))
50
      (0.4) wh- what I'm understanding from what you're saying
       is that whatever information you got you passed on to (0.6)
51
52
       the fire ground=
53 Wit =Mm
54 Tim ↑Is is that what you are intending to ↑say=
55 Wit =Mm
56 Tim Now ((turns gaze to John)) does that meet your question or
       are you [putting a ↓different question]
58 Joh
                [Not quite >no it doesn't] .h but a-
59
      assuming the information was passed on to the fire ground
60 58 would you al- would you also then go on to assume it had
61
       been ↑acted on by the by the crews< s- so [the] crews
62 Wit
63 Joh were actually going up (.) to rescue [peo]ple?
64 Wit
                                              [↑Yeah]
65 Joh °You would°
66 Wit Mm
67 Joh and is that so e:ven a:fter (.) th- your own personal
68
       lesson learnt at Lakanal that the assumption that people
       would always be rescued (0.7) er (.) > ^{\circ} if I've understood
       this right< (.) er (.) that it wasn't necessarily correct°
71 Wit >Well you've falways got to hope they're gonna be
       res;cued.<
73 Joh >So it's a< hope
74 Wit °↑Yes° ((nodding with gaze directed at counsel))
75
        (1.4)
```

```
76 Joh °↓Hm° (1.4) now
77
        (4.0)
78 Tim Well again I'm sorry to keep interrupting you but ((counsel
79
        name)) ((turns gaze to witness)) (1.2) #uh# (0.7) am I
        right in understanding that you passed on the information
80
        (1.0) you assumed that it would be acted upon (0.8) and you
81
        hoped that the action would be suctessful=
82
83
        =↓Yes
84
        But (0.3) in the circumstances you couldn't (0.4) tell
85
        whether it would be [or ↑not]
86 Wit
                             [\uparrow No no] (.) \downarrow no
87 Tim An- you may or may not have been told whether it was or
88
89 Wit = ^{\circ}Mm^{\circ}
90
        (1.0)
91 Tim #uh# ((turning gaze to John)) °all ↑right°
92
        (1.1)
93 Joh \uparrow>Yes< (0.5) yes (0.2) ((nodding)) you may not have been
        told whether it was or not bud in those circumstances
94
        (0.3) we'll look at some examples in i- in due cou:rse (.)
95
        bud i- just generally (0.3) °I want to get a feel for your
96
        pra- your your h- your ^{\circ} pra:ctice (1.1) you would _{\uparrow} give the
97
98
        as \surance (.) is this right b- because you (.) you knew the
99
        information was passed to the incident ground you hoped that
        it would be acted on (0.5) have I (.) have I summarised this
100
101
        fairly (0.3) because if I haven't (0.4) somebody will
102
        complain (0.6) ah but you didn't kno:w that the crews were
103
        there or on their way (.) when telling people (0.6) erm
104
        [that ((inaudible))]
105Wit [I ↑knew they were on] ↓scene bu- (0.2) erm
106Joh °Right°
107
        (2.2)
108Wit So (0.4) that was all we could \uparrowdo at that point (.) I
        thought
110 Joh °Okay° (.) I'm just trying to get a feel fo:r wh- when you
        say in your statement I would a- assure the caller that
111
112
        the fire fighters know exactly where they we:re (0.8) I'm
        just trying to get a feel for how (0.9) how confident you
113
114
        were (0.9) that that was factually happening (0.2) when you
115
        gave (0.4) °↑assurances to callers°
116Wit Well (1.0) I don't definitely know (.) but (.) uh because
117
        I'm not on scene but er
118 Joh °Right°
119Wit that was what the expectations w-
        [((inaudible))] ((nodding))
121 Joh [>That's what the expectation was all right°<]
```